

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN DISCIPLINE Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline	
IN RE:  COMPLAINANT, Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline,  and  RESPONDENT, Sean K. Murphy.	
	<p style="text-align: center;">▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲</p> <p>Case No.: 25-185</p> <p>(Supreme Court Case No. 2025SA317)</p>
<b>ORDER GRANTING JOINT REQUEST TO REMAND THIS MATTER TO THE COMMISSION PURSUANT TO COLO. RJD 36</b>	

The parties' Joint Request to Remand this Matter to the Commission Pursuant to Colo. Interim Rule of Judicial Discipline ("RJD") 36 is before the Panel.<sup>1</sup>

The Motion is **GRANTED**. The above captioned matter is hereby **REMANDED** to the Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline ("Commission") for reconsideration. The

---

<sup>1</sup> The Motion's caption actually refers to "RJD 3(D)." This rule does not appear to be applicable, and the Panel assumes that it is a typographical error. Interim RJD 36(d) provides for a remand to the Commission in the event that the Panel determines after a "formal proceeding" that a disability proceeding is called for. Here, there was no "formal proceeding," but given the parties' stipulation, the Panel has determined that remand is the correct course of action.

undersigned is authorized to sign on behalf of the Panel.

ENTERED this 5th day of January, 2026.

FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Eric Elliff", written in a cursive style.

---

J. Eric Elliff  
District Court Judge